Interview Summary	Applicati n	٧.	Applicant(s)		
	09/453,936		MOTOYAMA ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Bradley Edel	man	2153		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Bradley Edelman</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Kurt Berger</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 04 January 2005.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes e)□ No. If Yes, brief description: <u>see attached</u> .					
Claim(s) discussed:					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner proposed an examiner's amendment to get rid of the active hyperlink in the specification.</u> Applicant's representative agreed. Applicant's representative also proposed a change to the status of cases listed on page 1 of the specification. Examiner will enter the change in an the Examiner's amendment.					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
· ·					
•					
:					
Evaminer Note: Vou must sign this form unloss it is an					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signature, if required			

Cross-Reference to Related Applications

The present application, attorney docket number 5244 0125-2, is related to the following U.S. applications and patents: application No. 09/440,692 of 1999-11-16; application No. 09/440,647 of 1999-11-16, now U.S. Patent No. 6,662,225; application No. 09/440,646 of 1999-11-16; application No. 09/440,693 of 1999-11-16; application No. 09/440,645 of 1999-11-16; application No. 09/408,443 of 1999-09-29, now U.S. Patent No. 6,631,247; application No. 09/407,769 of 1999-09-29, now U.S. Patent No. 6.581,092; application No. 09/393,677 of 1999-09-10; application No. 09/311,148 of 1999-05-13; application No. 09/192,583 of 1998-11-17; application No. 09/190,460 of 1998-11-13, now U.S. Patent No. 6,208,956; application No. 08/883,492 of 1997-06-26; application No. 09/108,705 of 1998-07-01; application No. 09/107,989 of 1998-07-01. now U.S. Patent No. 6,801,331; application No. 08/997,482 of 1997-12-23, now U.S. Patent No. 6,085,196; application No. 08/997,705 of 1997-12-23. now U.S. Patent No. 6,279,015; application No. 08/738,659 of 1996-10-30; application No. 08/738,461 of 1996-10-30; application No. 09/457,669 of 1999-12-09; application No. 08/916,009 of 1997-08-21, now abandoned; application No. 07/902,462 of 1992-06-19; application No. 07/549,278 of 1990-07-06; <u>U.S. Patent No.</u> 5,908,493; <u>U.S. Patent No.</u> 5,887,216; <u>U.S.</u> Patent No. 5,818,603; U.S. Patent No. 5,819,110; U.S. Patent No. 5,774,678; U.S. Patent No. 5,649,120; U.S. Patent No. 5,568,618; U.S. Patent No. 5,544,289; U.S. Patent No. 5,537,554; and U.S. Patent No. 5,412,779. This application is also related to the following co-pending applications: Attorney-Docket No. 5244 0121-2 Application No. 09/453,934, filed May 17, 2000; 5244 0122 2 Application No. 09/453,935, filed May 17, 2000; and 5244-0126-2 Application No. 09/453,937, filed May 17, 2000, all of which are filed on even date herewith. The contents of each of those the above listed applications and patents is are incorporated herein by reference.

I;\ATTY\KMB\5244\5244-0125\52440125.RELATED.APPS.DOC

OBLON
SPIVAK
McClelland
MAIER
NEUSTADT
RC.

WWW.OBLON,COM

FACSIMILE

EXAMINER BRADLEY TO EDELMAN <u>Ja</u>nuary 5, 2005 ATTORNEYS AT LAW NAME DATE U.S. PTO 571-273-3953 1840 DUKE STREET COMPANY/FIRM FAX# ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: CONFIRM FAX: YES NO (703) 418-8000 **FROM** Kurt M. Berger (703) 413-2220 PACSIMILE 5244-0125-2 NAME OUR REFERENCE **QBLONPAT@QBLON.COM** 703-412-3520 09/453,936 DIRECT PHONE # PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW AND RELATED FEDERAL AND ITC LITIGATION YOUR REFERENCE MESSAGE

PLEASE CALL US AT (703) 413-3000 IF THE MESSAGE YOU RECEIVE IS INCOMPLETE OR NOT LEGIBLE

As we discussed yesterday, attached is a proposed Examiner's Amendment to the specification.

Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient in the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error or are not sure whether it is privileged, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at un Expense. Thank You.